UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Diane Green,) Civil Action No.: 4:19-cv-01913-RBH
Plaintiff,))
v.) ORDER
American Security Insurance Company,)
Defendant.)

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e) (D.S.C.). *See* ECF No. 25. The Magistrate Judge recommends granting Defendant's motion to dismiss and dismissing this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court must conduct a de novo review of those portions of the R & R to which specific objections are made, and it may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Neither party has filed objections to the R & R, and the time for doing so has expired.¹ In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc.*

.

Objections were due by July 9, 2020. See ECF No. 25.

4:19-cv-01913-RBH Date Filed 07/13/20 Entry Number 27 Page 2 of 2

Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear

error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72

advisory committee's note)).

Having found no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R & R [ECF No. 25],

GRANTS Defendant's motion to dismiss [ECF No. 23], and **DISMISSES** this action with prejudice

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina July 13, 2020

s/ R. Bryan HarwellR. Bryan HarwellChief United States District Judge